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Questioning Your Client

In many hearing offices, the vocational expert prepares a written summary of the claimant’s past relevant work prior to hearing. A copy is given to the representative just prior to the hearing. Often, the summary will not include the strength demands of the claimant's past work. Check with the claimant to be sure it accurately describes the work and acquired skills. Be sure to ask the claimant about the strength demands for any job that the vocational expert left blank. Check with the ALJ before the hearing; many ALJs do not want to hear about the claimant’s past work if the summary is correct. Be sure to bring out favorable evidence about past work, such as working for the same employer for 27 years.

Use your questions to bring favorable evidence to the ALJ’s attention and fill in gaps in the favorable evidence. Assume you have a treating physician’s statement that the claimant need to lay down for an hour at unscheduled times during the day. At the hearing, ask the claimant if s/he needs to lay down during the day. How often? How long? Ask the vocational expert about the effect of laying down for an hour at unscheduled times during the day. Similarly, if the treating doc says “no prolonged standing,” ask the claimant how long they can stand. Incorporate treating docs general limitation with claimant’s more specific limitations.

Ex 1. Do you have any problems standing/walking? What kind of problems?
How long can you stand/walk at one time?
Total in an eight hour day?
Remember going down to the District Office to file the application? The Claims Representative from SSA said:

1E/3: NH walked into the office to my desk somewhat hunched over. It appeared that she struggled to stand up straight. She sat at my desk for about 25 minutes, then had to stand up for about 5. She sat back down for another 25 minutes or so. When the interview was over, she had to use the desk to help her stand up. She walked slowly to the door.

Is that typical for you?

Ex. 2. Dr. Smith reported in Ex. 14F/3 that you should avoid prolonged standing. How long can you stand at one time?
Vocational Expert Testimony Generally

Vocational expert testimony generally contains three components. Initially, the ALJ will ask some background questions regarding the vocational expert’s qualifications and potential bias. The second area has the vocational expert classify the claimant’s past work. Finally, the vocational expert responds to hypothetical questions.

Structure Of A Hypothetical Question

1) The hypothetical question begins with the claimant’s age, education, past relevant work, impairments, and functional limitations.

Assume the claimant is a 47 year old man with a high school education and past relevant as described in Ex. 17E. Assume further that the claimant has lumbar disk disease with anxiety and depression. As a result of his impairments, the claimant is limited to lifting 20 pounds occasionally and 10 pounds frequently; he can stand and walk 30 minutes at a time and 6 hours out of an 8 hour workday; he can sit for 60 minutes at a time and 6 hours a day; he is further limited to simple, routine, activities and occasional, superficial contact with the public, co-workers, and supervisors.

- Is the claimant capable of returning to any past work, either as he performed it or as it is generally performed?
- Does the claimant have any transferable skills?
- Are there other jobs the claimant can perform?
  (VE should list jobs by DOT number, title, and number in the nation/region)

2) The hypothetical question begins with the claimant’s past work, impairments, and functional limitations; tracking the regulations, the claimant’s age and education are added only after determining the claimant cannot return to past relevant work.

Assume the claimant has past relevant as described in Ex. 17E. Assume further that the claimant has lumbar disk disease with anxiety and depression. As a result of his impairments, the claimant is limited to lifting 20 pounds occasionally and 10 pounds frequently; he can stand and walk 30 minutes at a time and 6 hours out of an 8 hour workday; he can sit for 60 minutes at a time and 6 hours a day; he is further limited to simple, routine, activities and occasional, superficial contact with the public, co-workers, and supervisors.

Is the claimant capable of returning to any past work, either as he performed it or as it is generally performed?

(If the claimant is unable to return to past work) Assume further the claimant is 47 years old and has a high school education.

- Does the claimant have any transferable skills?
- Are there other jobs the claimant can perform?
  (VE should list jobs by DOT number, title, and number in the nation/region)

This format is a little more cumbersome than the first format, but technically more appropriate.
3) The hypothetical question includes the claimant’s age, education, past work, and only a general statement of functional limitations.
Assume the claimant is a 47 year old man with a high school education and past relevant as described in Ex. 17E. Assume the claimant has lumbar disk disease with anxiety and depression. Assume further I find the claimant’s testimony to be credible.

- Is the claimant capable of returning to any past work, either as he performed it or as it is generally performed?
- Does the claimant have any transferable skills?
- Are there other jobs the claimant can perform?
  (VE should list jobs by DOT number, title, and number in the nation/region)

This type of hypothetical question is technically improper as too vague and requiring the vocational expert to make decisions as to what limitations to include. The question, though, still may be useful. On cross-examination, ask the vocational expert to identify the specific limitations that preclude work.

4) The hypothetical question builds on a prior hypothetical question.
Returning to the ALJ’s first hypothetical, what if the claimant is absent three days a month. Is the claimant capable of performing past work or any other work?
This type of hypothetical question often comes after several other hypothetical questions, so the format is somewhat shortened. The additional limitation is often a “killer limitation”; that is, the additional limitation precludes all work. Common “killer limitations” include:

- the need to be absent at least three workdays a month
- the need to take frequent, unscheduled breaks for an hour a day
- the need to work at a slow pace for one-third of an eight hour workday
- the need to lie down at unscheduled times for one hour out of an eight hour workday
- the need to elevate both legs to heart level for one hour of an eight hour workday
- the need to alternate positions every fifteen minutes for a minute or two

Special Situations

1) The ALJ calls a vocational expert but asks no questions
If the ALJ has a vocational expert present at the hearing but asks no questions, it can mean one of several things. The ALJ may be prepared to find the claimant is disabled (e.g., meets the Listings). Alternatively, the ALJ may believe the claimant does not have a severe impairment or can return to past work. It helps to know the ALJ.

2) The ALJ calls a vocational expert and asks only one hypothetical question
Generally, the ALJ will decide the case based on that hypothetical. If the vocational expert identified jobs, the ALJ will likely deny the claim; if the vocational expert does not identify jobs, the ALJ will likely allow the claim. If the ALJ asks one hypothetical question and the vocational expert indicates the claimant cannot work, generally do not ask the vocational expert any questions.
3) The claimant is advanced age.
Know the Medical-Vocational Guidelines. If the claimant is age 52 years old, limited to sedentary work with additional mental limitations, and the vocational expert testifies the claimant cannot return to past work and cannot transfer skills, do not ask the vocational expert if the claimant is capable of performing unskilled sedentary. The claimant is disabled even if able to do the full range of unskilled sedentary work. See, e.g., Vocational Rule 201.09, 201.10, 201.12, 201.14

Preparing For Appeal
Generally, the better practice is to ask several hypothetical questions that result in vocational expert testimony that there are no jobs. Then, on appeal, choose the best theories. Sometimes, it is appropriate to ask a hypothetical question without knowing how the vocational expert will respond. If the vocational expert responds that jobs exist, do not raise the issue on appeal. Generally, it is better to know that theory is not viable and not raise the issue. If the question is not asked but the issue is raised on appeal, the case may be remanded only to find at the supplemental hearing that claimant is not disabled.
VE Testimony Preparation

Niki Fisher, Esq.

Develop and understand your client’s work history:

- What type of work
- Last 15 Years
  - 20 C.F.R. § 404.1565—Any past work that was done within the last 15 years, lasting long enough for you to learn to do it AND was substantial gainful activity.
  - We do not usually consider that work you did 15 years or more before the time we are deciding whether you are disabled (or when the disability insured status requirement was last met, if earlier) applies.
- Supervisor
  - Working Supervisor
  - Did the Client hire or fire any employees
  - Arrange time schedules
  - How many people does the client supervise
- Skill level
  - Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>DOT Code</th>
<th>Skill Level</th>
<th>Physical Demand per DOT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parts Inspector Inspector General</td>
<td>609.684-010</td>
<td>Semi-Skilled SVP 4</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parts Inspector/Conveyor (he would eye the item to make sure it met standards)</td>
<td>921.686-014</td>
<td>SVP2</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chef</td>
<td>313.131-014</td>
<td>SVP7</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen Helper</td>
<td>318.687-010</td>
<td>SVP2</td>
<td>Med</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast Foods Worker</td>
<td>311.472-010</td>
<td>SVP2</td>
<td>Light</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Work History Report SSA 3369
• Claimant’s Work Background HA-4633

• Full Time or Part Time work
• Exertional level

• Employer statements
• Substantial Gainful Activity

• Detailed Earnings Query
• Summary Earnings Query
• DIBWIZ
• New Hire Query
• WHAT Query
• Certified Earnings Record

Vocational Expert Testimony

• If you do not know what the VE is saying—ask
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EIN</th>
<th>RPR REO LOAC NAME</th>
<th>EARNINGS</th>
<th>TOTAL COMP</th>
<th>CONTROL NUMBER</th>
<th>PR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JELD-WEN INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>19717.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>19717.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>02 OASDI YEARLY TOTAL</td>
<td>19717.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JELD-WEN INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>21857.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>21857.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>03 OASDI YEARLY TOTAL</td>
<td>21857.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSEPH T RYERSON &amp; SON INC</td>
<td>CHICAGO</td>
<td></td>
<td>EARNINGS</td>
<td>4213.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>4213.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>4213.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>18338.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>18338.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>04 OASDI YEARLY TOTAL</td>
<td>22552.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOSEPH T RYERSON &amp; SON INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EARNINGS</td>
<td>10764.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>10764.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>10764.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>05 OASDI YEARLY TOTAL</td>
<td>10764.39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP ASSOCIATES-MARSHALLTOWN INC</td>
<td>MUSCATINE</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>EARNINGS</td>
<td>7289.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% ROBERT D JENSEN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>7289.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>7289.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td>DALLAS</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td>EARNINGS</td>
<td>14310.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>14310.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>14310.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>06 OASDI YEARLY TOTAL</td>
<td>21599.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EARNINGS</td>
<td>9230.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WAGE TOTAL</td>
<td>21025.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OASDI EMPLOYER TOTAL</td>
<td>21025.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>07 OASDI YEARLY TOTAL</td>
<td>21025.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td>SUMMARY FICA EARNINGS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1372.65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1991</td>
<td>2776.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>5504.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1993</td>
<td>10240.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>12323.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR</th>
<th>Earnings Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>10770.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>8110.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>4486.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>23777.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPENDENT</th>
<th>SUMMARY MQBE EARNINGS</th>
<th>NO MQBE EARNINGS</th>
<th>YEARS REQUESTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REMARKS</th>
<th>CLAIMS ACTIVITY -- SEE MBR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NON-COVERED EARNINGS PRESENT FOR: 2001-2002, 2004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Abbreviated DIB Review Sheet

**SSN**

**Query Date:** 6/2/2009  
**Unit:**

The Abbreviated DIB Review Sheet path was selected for this report. Therefore, the report WILL NOT contain any data from the following queries: MDW, MSSIICS, MCS, PUPS, Internet DIB, APPT, WKSHT, or DCF.

### Name:

Other Last Names (from NUMI):

### Date of Birth (from NUMI): 

**Age 18**  
**Age 55:**  
**Age 62:**

**Attainment of Critical Ages (GN 00302.400A for attainment; RS 00615.003A for Full Retirement Age (FRA)):**

**Age 22**  
**Age 50:**  
**Age 60:**  
**FRA:**

### RECENT WORK

#### SEQY and DEQY Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Covered Earnings</th>
<th>MQGE Earnings</th>
<th>Non-Covered Earnings</th>
<th>MQGE Earnings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$539.04</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$21,025.27</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$21,599.75</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$10,764.59</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>$22,562.42</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$389.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note - to view the complete SEQY and last 15 years of the DEQY, please go to the Queries Menu and select query 'G - SEQY and DEQY'.

#### Wage Info (NDWG) Data:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year, Quarter</th>
<th>Covered Earnings</th>
<th>Employer</th>
<th>Name/SSN Verified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008, 1ST</td>
<td>$625.00</td>
<td>LENNOX INDUSTRIES INC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007, 4TH</td>
<td>$1,143.00</td>
<td>LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007, 3RD</td>
<td>$706.00</td>
<td>LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007, 2ND</td>
<td>$5,483.00</td>
<td>LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRIOR DISABILITY CLAIM DENIALS

#### MBR Data (Title II)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSN:</th>
<th>BIC:</th>
<th>Date of Birth:</th>
<th>Debit Run Date (DRD):</th>
<th>X-REF AN:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### MBR DIB Line(s) Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Onset (DOO):</th>
<th>Date Adjudicated (DSD):</th>
<th>Level of Denial (LOD):</th>
<th>Basis of Denial (BCD):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05/2008</td>
<td>2 Reconsideration</td>
<td>J1 [DIB] Capacity for SGA - other work (Earnings req. last met on or after date of current decision)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### MBR BEN DENY Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of Filing:</th>
<th>DIB Onset:</th>
<th>Level of Denial:</th>
<th>Debit Run Date (DRD):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/21/07</td>
<td>02/22/2007</td>
<td>RECON</td>
<td>Disallow/Den Ref RSN: 0J1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### SSDI Data (Title XVI)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record Number:</th>
<th>Application Date:</th>
<th>Date of Onset:</th>
<th>Date of Decision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12/21/2007</td>
<td>3/2/2007</td>
<td>Date of Decision:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Appeal Type:</th>
<th>Appeal Reason:</th>
<th>Appeal Decision:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Claims</td>
<td>Initial Disability</td>
<td>Disallow/Den Ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2 capacity for SGA-other work, no visual impairment</td>
<td>Date of Decision:</td>
<td>5/29/2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### DDSO and OHAQ DATA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DDSQ Data</th>
<th>Program:</th>
<th>Status:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RC-RECON</td>
<td>02</td>
<td>CLOSED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application Date:</th>
<th>Recon Date:</th>
<th>Basis Code for Decision:</th>
<th>Decision Code:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/21/2007</td>
<td>3/13/2008</td>
<td>J1 [DIB] Capacity for SGA - other work (Earnings req. last met on or after date of current decision)</td>
<td>DE denial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Claim Cleared DDS:</th>
<th>State:</th>
<th>X-Ref:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/29/2008</td>
<td>IA</td>
<td>X-Ref:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Section R**

**NATIONAL SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY LAW CONFERENCE**

### Abbreviated DIB Review Sheet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSN:</th>
<th>Program: 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status: CLOSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Claim Level/Type:** RC-DI reconsideration-disabled individual

**Application Date:** 12/21/2007 **Record Date:** 3/13/2008

**Basis Code for Decision:** N32 Capacity for SGA-other work, no visual impairment

**Decision Code:** DE denial

**Date Claim Cleared DDS:** 5/29/2008 **State:** IA **X-Ref:**

### DDSQ Development History Data

**Claim Level:** IN initial claim **Title:** 02

**Basis Code for Decision:** J1 [DIB] Capacity for SGA - other work (Earnings req, last met on or after date of current decision)

**Decision Code:** DE denial

**Date Claim Cleared DDS:** 2/28/2008 **State:** IA **X-Ref:**

**Claim Level:** IN initial claim **Title:** 18

**Basis Code for Decision:** N32 Capacity for SGA-other work, no visual impairment

**Decision Code:** DE denial

**Date Claim Cleared DDS:** 2/28/2008 **State:** IA **X-Ref:**

### OHAQ Data

(For additional Hearing and Appeals information, use the CPMS or ARPS websites)

**Claim Type:** SSDC Supplemental Security Income Disabled Claimant Concurrent with T2 Issue

**Last Action Taken:** 305 Claim File Received in HO **Date Action Taken:** 07/22/08

**Hearing Section:**

**Hearing Type:** NRH-REG 10 New request for hearing - Regular

**Hearing Request Date:** 07/21/08 **Disposition Date (DID):**

**ALJ Disposition Title II:**

**ALJ Disposition Title XVI:**

**Appeals Council (AC) Section:**

**AC Request Date:**

**AC Disposition Title II:**

**AC Disposition Title XVI:**

(AC Review Information updated after 03/03/08 will no longer be on the OHAQ; use the CPMS or ARPS website.)

**Court Section:**

**Advised of Suit Date:**

**Court Disposition Date (CD):**

**District Court Code:**

**Attorney Served Date:**

**Court Disposition Title II:**

**Court Disposition Title XVI:**

Remember - If the ALJ dismissed a request for hearing, treat any new application as if no hearing request was ever filed. If the AC (without issuing decision) denies or dismisses a request for review of the ALJ's decision, the period adjudicated reverts to the ALJ decision (DID). (Refer to POMS DI 27510.001B, Exhibit 2)

When a prior claim is at the ALJ level and a new claim (initial or CDR) under the same title is filed, the new claim should generally be routed to the ALJ (via the FO). If the prior claim is at the AC level and a new claim under the same or different title is filed, the subsequent claim will be processed as a new Initial claim. However, if the AC remands the prior claim back to the ALJ, the DDS should stop development and forward the subsequent claim to the appropriate HO for association. (Refer to POMS DI 20101.028)

### CURRENT OR PRIOR DISABILITY ENTITLEMENT

### FOLDER LOCATIONS

**SSID Data (Title XVI)**

**RN:** Current Folder Location:

**Receipt Date:** 07/22/08 C Prior Folder Location:

**DDSQ Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim Level/Type:</th>
<th>Program:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLC Code:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LTJ Code:** EDC (EDC indicates an EDCS claim) **LTG Code:**

**Data Received in DDS (SRD):** Date Case Cleared DDS(SCD): Destination of Case at Closure:

510
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WAGE INFO</th>
<th>DATE: 09/02/2009</th>
<th>SSN: 479-90-4433</th>
<th>PG: 1 OF 2</th>
<th>NDWG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QUARTER PAID: 1ST/2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME (F, M, L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAGES PAID: $636.00</td>
<td>EIN:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYER: LENNOX INDUSTRIES INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY ST ZIP: DALLAS</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED BY: IA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED PROCESSED: 07/16/2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARTER PAID: 4TH/2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME (F, M, L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAGES PAID: $1,143.00</td>
<td>EIN:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYER: LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY ST ZIP: DALLAS</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED BY: IA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED PROCESSED: 04/16/2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAGE INFO</td>
<td>DATE: 09/02/2009</td>
<td>SSN: 479-90-4433</td>
<td>PG: 2 OF 2</td>
<td>NDWG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QUARTER PAID: 3RD/2007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME (F, M, L):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAGES PAID: $766.00</td>
<td>EIN:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPLOYER: LENNOX MANUFACTURING INC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITY ST ZIP: DALLAS</td>
<td>TX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED BY: IA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPORTED PROCESSES: 01/17/2008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Detail Covered FICA Earnings</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASDI Yearly Total</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIN</td>
<td>KESTERSON INVESTMENT CORP</td>
<td>FSO INC</td>
<td>FSO INC</td>
<td>FSO INC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer</td>
<td>Show Address</td>
<td>Show Address</td>
<td>Show Address</td>
<td>Show Address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings Totals</td>
<td>Show Details</td>
<td>Show Details</td>
<td>Show Details</td>
<td>Show Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wage Total</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OASDI Employer Total</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
<td>206.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Form HA-181 (03-2007)
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Certified Earnings Records  
Doc. Dt.: 12/29/2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NH NAME</th>
<th>SN</th>
<th>PG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INPUT</td>
<td>12/29/09</td>
<td>_</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUN DATE</td>
<td>12/29/09</td>
<td>V:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVENT**  
ICERS EARNINGS RECORD

**TID**  
CERTIFIED EARNINGS RECORD

**ALERTS**  
NH HAS 09 YOC'S FOR NONCOVERED PENSION PIA  
PRIOR CLAIM DATA DOES NOT EXIST ON DRAMS  
POSSIBLE GAPS 2007  
POSSIBLE INCOMPLETES 1991 2006

**INFORMTNNL**  
DISABILITY NON-EXCLUSION 20/40 INSURED TEST MET  
DISABILITY EXCLUSION FULLY INSURED STATUS MET  
DISABLED NH IS FULLY INSURED RIB  
DISABILITY NON-EXCLUSION FULLY INSURED STATUS MET  
DISABILITY EXCLUSION 20/40 INSURED TEST MET  
PRIOR CLAIM STATUS - A  
NO RECOMPUTATION INCREASE  
JAN 2009  

**ID INFO**  
REQ NAM: MCCALL  
REQ SEX:  
REQ DATE OF BIRTH:  
DATES  
FILING DATE: 11/06/08  
DATE OF ONSET: 01/12/2006

**DIB INPUT**  
MBR/INPUT DATA  
ONSET: 01/12/2006  
DENIAL/DISALLOWANCE:  
INS STAT  
DISABILITY:  EXCL REQ QC: 16  
EXCL HAS: 040  
NON-EXCL REQ QC: 17  
NON-EXCL HAS: 040  
DIL: 12/10  
OTHER:  
FIRST INSURED: 01/01

**TOT COV**  
SSA QC  
1937 THRU 1950 QC: 0  
WAGE QC AFTER 1946: 70  
WAGE QC AFTER 1950: 70  
SE QC: NONE  
AG QC: NONE

**TOT EARN**  
SSA  
TOT AFTER 1936: 231321.94  
TOT AFTER 1950: 231321.94

**COMPUTATIONAL YEARLY EARNINGS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAX</th>
<th>AMT YR QC</th>
<th>REGULAR</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>NH INDEXED</th>
<th>RAILROAD</th>
<th>RQSM</th>
<th>DMW</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>AG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>42000</td>
<td>440 86 NNW</td>
<td>353.81</td>
<td>728.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43800</td>
<td>460 87 CCC</td>
<td>5734.17</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>11093.52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45000</td>
<td>470 88 CCC</td>
<td>11794.07</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>21746.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48000</td>
<td>500 89 CCC</td>
<td>14104.46</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>25015.66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51300</td>
<td>520 90 CCC</td>
<td>3657.21</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>6200.04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53400</td>
<td>540 91 NNW</td>
<td>19.29</td>
<td>31.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55500</td>
<td>570 92 CCC</td>
<td>1513.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57600</td>
<td>590 93 CCC</td>
<td>8351.65</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>12870.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60600</td>
<td>620 94 CCC</td>
<td>11774.20</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>17670.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61200</td>
<td>630 95 CCC</td>
<td>15772.42</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>22758.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62700</td>
<td>640 96 CCC</td>
<td>12879.62</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>17717.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65400</td>
<td>670 97 CCC</td>
<td>10714.90</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>13927.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68400</td>
<td>700 98 CCC</td>
<td>26455.31</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>32676.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### COMPUTATIONAL YEARLY EARNINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NH NAME</th>
<th>INPUT</th>
<th>SN:</th>
<th>PG 002</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12/29/09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH INDEXED</td>
<td>RAILROAD</td>
<td>RQSM</td>
<td>DMW SE AG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAX ACYR</td>
<td>REGULAR U</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>INDEXED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72600</td>
<td>710 99 CCC</td>
<td>24595.52</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76200</td>
<td>780 00 CCC</td>
<td>30576.16</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80400</td>
<td>830 01 CCC</td>
<td>18929.16</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84900</td>
<td>870 02 CCC</td>
<td>8241.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87000</td>
<td>890 03 CCC</td>
<td>8287.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87900</td>
<td>900 04 CCC</td>
<td>9028.61</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90000</td>
<td>920 05 CCC</td>
<td>8254.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94200</td>
<td>970 06 NNNN</td>
<td>76.34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97500</td>
<td>1000 07 NNNN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102000</td>
<td>1050 08 NNNN</td>
<td>208.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106800</td>
<td>1090 09 NNNN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMP DATA**

- DI: 1.0
- COMP TYPE: NS 78 DIS EX
- AIME: $31715.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EFF DATE</th>
<th>PIA</th>
<th>PIFC:</th>
<th>FAM MAX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/07</td>
<td>$959.80</td>
<td>PIFC:L</td>
<td>$1439.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/07</td>
<td>$981.80</td>
<td>PIFC:L</td>
<td>$1472.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/08</td>
<td>$1038.70</td>
<td>PIFC:L</td>
<td>$1558.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/09</td>
<td>$1038.70</td>
<td>PIFC:L</td>
<td>$1558.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**START BASE YEAR/START DATE:** 1951
**LAST BASE YEAR/CLOSE DATE:** 2006
**DIVIDEND:** $267676.00
**DM:** 156
**DOY:** 3
**YOC:** I/Y
**ELG YR:** 2006

**TRIAL COMPUTATIONS:**
- NS 78 $1017.60
- SP MIN $36.90
- NS 78R $1017.60
- NS 78R DIS EX $1038.70
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### CLAIMANT'S WORK BACKGROUND

A. To be completed by the Office of Disability Adjudication and Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Claimant and Social Security Number)</th>
<th>(Wage Earner and Social Security Number) (Leave blank if same as claimant)</th>
<th>The last time we brought your case up-to-date was:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bob Smith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. To be completed by the claimant

**PLEASE PRINT**

Start with your most recent job, and list that and any work performed within the past 15 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dates of Employment (Approximately)</th>
<th>Name of Employer and Location of Employment</th>
<th>Duties Performed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From 2006 To 1 year</td>
<td>Lennox</td>
<td>I worked full-time as a top setter. I was constantly standing, walking, reaching, grabbing, pushing, pulling, bending, and lifting up to 50 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 2006 To 2006</td>
<td>Temp Associates</td>
<td>It is the same as Lennox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 2004 To 2005</td>
<td>Joseph Ryerson</td>
<td>I worked full-time as a steel cutter working at a machine. I was constantly standing, reaching, grabbing, pushing, pulling, bending, and lifting up to 75 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 2001 To 2005</td>
<td>Jeld-Wen</td>
<td>I worked full-time grading door skin on assembly line. I was constantly standing, reaching, grabbing, pulling, bending, and lifting up to 75 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 2001 To 2005</td>
<td>Superior Staffing</td>
<td>I worked temporary in a warehouse pulling orders. I was constantly standing, reaching, grabbing, pushing, pulling, bending, and lifting up to 75 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 2001 To</td>
<td>EMCO</td>
<td>I worked full-time. Packing door on an assembly line. I was constantly standing, reaching, grabbing, pushing, pulling, bending, and lifting up to 30 lbs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From 1997 To</td>
<td>Rubbermaid</td>
<td>I worked full-time packing the molded plastics into boxes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Section R

NATIONAL SOCIAL SECURITY DISABILITY LAW CONFERENCE

SSA-3369 EDCS

WORK HISTORY REPORT - Form SSA-3369

(3369) Work History Report

Section 1 - Information About The Disabled Person

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Name (First, Middle Initial, Last)</th>
<th>B. Social Security Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Daytime Telephone Number (If you have no number where you can be reached, give us a daytime number where we can leave a message for you:)

Your number

Section 2 - Information About Your Work

A. List all the jobs that you have had in the 15 years before you became unable to work because of your illnesses, injuries, or conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>Type of Business</th>
<th>Dates Worked (From-To)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Events coordinator</td>
<td>Home remodeling company</td>
<td>2004 - 12/13/2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Telemarketing</td>
<td>Telemarketing company</td>
<td>2000 - 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Give us more information about Job No. 1. Estimate hours and pay, if you need to.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title No. 1:</th>
<th>Cook</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Pay:</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Per:</td>
<td>Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours Per Day:</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days Per Week:</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Employer Questionnaire

Re: Bob Smith

1. Please state your name and how you know Bob Smith (supervisor, coworker, etc).

2. Please state the name and type of business/organization.

3. Please state how long you have known Mr. Smith.

4. Please state whether you saw/see Mr. Smith regularly and are/were able to observe his work.

5. Do you believe Mr. Smith can work 8 hours a day, five days a week at any kind of job effectively? If not, why not? (e.g., cannot sit or stand very long at a time, frequent absences, unable to finish a workday, etc.)

6. Please give examples or short stories to illustrate difficulties or inadequacies Mr. Smith had performing work activities.
7. Please mark any that apply:

- Allowed to work irregular hours or take frequent rest periods;
- Absent more frequently than normally allowed/expected;
- Require(s/d) and receive(s/d) special assistance from other employees in performing the job;
- Permitted to perform at a lower standard of productivity or efficiency than other employees; or
- Able to work only within a framework of specially arranged circumstances, such as where other persons helped him prepare for or get to and from work;
- Granted the opportunity to work, despite his handicap, because of family relationship, past association with the firm, or other altruistic reason; or
- Provided with special equipment or was assigned work especially suited to the impairment.

Please explain any statements that are marked:

Please sign and date and include your address and phone.

Date

Signature
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>January</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>February</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Signature
I-2-5-48. VOCATIONAL EXPERTS—GENERAL
I-2-5-50. WHEN TO OBTAIN VOCATIONAL EXPERT OPINION
I-2-5-52. SELECTING A VOCATIONAL EXPERT
I-2-5-54. OBTAINING VOCATIONAL EXPERT TESTIMONY
I-2-5-55. THE VOCATIONAL EXPERT’S TESTIMONY
I-2-5-56. OBTAINING VOCATIONAL EXPERT OPINION AFTER THE HEARING
I-2-5-57. OBTAINING VOCATIONAL EXPERT OPINION THROUGH INTERROGATORIES
I-2-6-74. TESTIMONY OF A VOCATIONAL EXPERT
I-2-5-48. Vocational Experts—General

VEs are vocational professionals who provide impartial expert opinion during the hearings process on claims under title II and title XVI of the Social Security Act or claims for Black Lung Benefits by either testifying at hearings or providing written responses to interrogatories. The following general guidelines apply to an ALJ’s use of a VE in the hearing proceedings:

- The ALJ may use a VE before, during, or after the hearing
- The ALJ must avoid any off-the-record discussion with the VE. If such a discussion occurs, the ALJ must summarize the discussion on the record at the hearing or by entering a written summary into the record as an exhibit
- The ALJ may not use a VE who has had prior professional contact with the claimant
- The ALJ may not ask a VE to provide an opinion on psychological (i.e., medical) matters even if the VE is a certified mental health professional. (See I-2-5-61, Use of Dually-Qualified Vocational and Medical Experts.)
- The VE’s opinion is not binding on the ALJ. The ALJ must weigh a VE’s opinion along with all other evidence.

I-2-5-50. When to Obtain Vocational Expert Opinion

A. When an ALJ May Need to Obtain VE Opinion

An ALJ may need to obtain a VE’s opinion, either in testimony at a hearing, in person, by telephone, by video teleconference or in written responses to interrogatories, when: 1. the ALJ is determining whether the claimant’s impairment(s) prevents the performance of past relevant work; or 2. the ALJ is determining whether the claimant’s impairment(s) prevents the performance of any other work and he or she cannot decide the case under any of the tables in Appendix 2, Subpart P of Regulations No. 4, because:

- the claimant’s residual functional capacity falls between two exertional levels; e.g., the claimant may be able to perform more than the full range of sedentary work, but less than the full range of light work
- the claimant has solely nonexertional limitations; or
- the claimant has a combination of exertional and nonexertional limitations; e.g., back impairments with limited sitting or standing tolerance.

B. When the ALJ Must Obtain VE Opinion

The ALJ must obtain a VE’s opinion, either in testimony at a hearing in person, by telephone, by videoconference or in responses to written interrogatories, when directed by the Appeals Council or a court.
I-2-5-52. Selecting a Vocational Expert

A. General

All ALJ contact with a VE about a case must be in writing or at a hearing, and all correspondence with the VE must be made part of the record.

When an ALJ determines that VE testimony is needed, the ALJ or designee will inform the claimant and the representative by placing a statement to that effect in the “REMARKS” section of the Notice of Hearing. (See I-2-3-15, Notice of Hearing.)

The ALJ or designee will, before the hearing, furnish the VE with copies of all evidence relating to the claimant’s vocational history. If additional vocational evidence is received at the hearing, the ALJ will, if possible, provide it to the VE for review before the VE testifies.

B. HO Staff Recommends Use of VE

Before scheduling the hearing, the ALJ or designee must thoroughly review the case to determine what, if any, additional evidence is needed to decide the case. If a designee performs the review and believes that VE opinion is needed, the designee will make that recommendation to the ALJ.

C. ALJ Determines that VE Opinion is Needed

If the ALJ determines (or agrees) that VE opinion is needed, the ALJ will decide the manner in which to receive the VE opinion (i.e., whether to receive the opinion in testimony at the hearing, by telephone, by videoconference or in response to written interrogatories). (See I-2-5-30, Medical or Vocational Expert Opinion—General.)

D. Selection of VE from RO Roster

Each RO maintains a roster of VEs who have agreed to provide impartial expert opinion pursuant to a BPA with OHA. (See I-2-5-31, Blanket Purchase Agreements.) The ALJ or designee must select a VE from the roster in rotation to the extent possible; i.e., when an ALJ selects a VE to provide expert opinion in a case, that VE will go to the bottom of the roster and will not be called again by that ALJ or any other ALJ in the HO until all other VEs on the roster are called. Each RO maintains a roster of VEs who have agreed to provide impartial expert opinion pursuant to a BPA. If a VE is not available on the RO roster of the HO’s region, then the ALJ should look to other RO rosters to obtain the services of a VE.

If an ALJ uses a VE from the roster, the HO staff will assign a purchase order number and complete a Contractor’s Invoice in WebBass. (To access the Contractor’s Invoice, Form HA-590, in DGS, go to DGS, click on the “Contractor’s Invoice” tab.)

E. Selection of VE Not on RO Roster

An ALJ may use a VE who does not have a BPA with OHA if no VE on any RO roster is available, or there are other extenuating circumstances which require the one-time purchase of such VE’s services.
The same terms and conditions that apply to a VE providing services pursuant to a BPA also apply to a VE providing services without a BPA. Authorize payment to a VE without a BPA by completing Optional Form 347, Order for Supplies or Services.

I-2-5-54. Obtaining Vocational Expert Testimony

A. The HO staff will contact the VE and schedule him or her for hearings as necessary.

The notification letter is produced when the Notice of Hearing is generated. To request an VE to testify at a hearing, the ALJ or HO staff will complete the notice in DGS at the same time the Notice of Hearing is generated for the claimant. Under the “Hearing Information” tab in the Notice of Hearing user form, select either “Vocational Expert” or “VE & ME” depending on what type of expert testimony needed, and the VE’s notice will be generated automatically. The HO staff must modify the form as necessary to adapt it to the specific case and include:

- all identifying information;
- the issues to be considered; and
- the name and telephone number of an HO staff person the VE may contact if he or she has any questions or problems.

B. Distribution of Notice of Hearing to Vocational Expert

Distribute the Notice of Hearing to the VE as follows:

- Send the original to the VE, along with any necessary enclosures (See I-2-5-38 C., below.)
- Send copies to the claimant and the representative.
- Place a copy in the CF.
- Place a copy in the HO file.

C. Providing the VE with Relevant Evidence

The ALJ must provide the VE with relevant vocational evidence that will assist the VE in providing the vocational opinion. This evidence must include:

- photocopies of the vocational evidence arranged in chronological order and marked as proposed exhibits;
- a copy of the VE’s professional qualifications for verification;

NOTE: Do not include the professional qualifications of other sources.

- a list of the proposed exhibits tendered to the VE using Form HA-540, Exhibits List (to access Form HA-540 go to DGS, click on the “Exhibit” tab; then click on “Exhibit List” tab); copies of all prior correspondence between the ALJ and the VE, if any;
• a transcript or summary of any vocational testimony provided in a prior hearing on the same case; and
• a copy of pertinent parts of the VE orientation package if there is no BPA with the VE.

I-2-5-55. The Vocational Expert’s Testimony

During the opening statement, the ALJ must explain why VE testimony is necessary. The VE may attend the entire hearing, but this is not required.

Before the VE testifies, the ALJ must:

• ensure on the record that the VE has examined all vocational evidence of record;
• ensure that the record contains an accurate statement of the VE’s professional qualifications;
• give the claimant and the representative an opportunity to ask the VE questions about his or her professional qualifications; and
• summarize the opening statement or relevant testimony on the record (e.g., testimony regarding the claimant’s vocational history) if the VE was not present.

NOTE: All VE testimony must be on the record.

The ALJ should take care to elicit useful and objective testimony from the VE. For examples of the types of questions the ALJ might ask, see I-2-5-94, Sample-Interrogatories to Vocational Expert.

If the VE’s reply to an ALJ’s question is ambiguous or overly technical, the ALJ must follow-up with more specific questions. An ALJ must not question a VE about any matter which is not within the VE’s area of expertise and responsibility. For example, the ALJ must not ask a VE about medical matters, how the ALJ should decide the case or whether the number of jobs identified by the VE in the regional and national economies are “significant.” However, the ALJ can ask the VE to provide the number of specific jobs identified in the regional and national economies.

If certain VE testimony is based on an assumption, the VE or ALJ must clearly describe the assumption on the record.

If a claimant raises an objection about a VE’s opinion, the ALJ must rule on the objection and discuss any ruling in the decision.

The ALJ must also determine if there are any conflicts between the jobs identified by the VE and how the jobs are described in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles and how the jobs are performed in today’s workplace. If there are conflict(s), the ALJ must ask the VE to identify the conflict(s) and inquire how the VE resolved the conflict(s) and whether the conflict(s) impact the number of jobs testified to by the VE. If the number of jobs testified to by the VE as being available are impacted, the ALJ must obtain from the VE the basis of any adjustments and how the adjustments were derived. See SSR 00-4p.
1-2-5-56. Obtaining Vocational Expert Opinion After the Hearing

A. Identifying the Need for VE Opinion After the Hearing

Although these situations occur relatively infrequently, the ALJ may identify the need for VE evidence during or after the hearing. For example:

- The claimant may submit evidence during or after the hearing which establishes the existence of another severe impairment which raises issues that require VE testimony for a determination at step 5 of the sequential evaluation process.
- Evidence submitted after the hearing indicates that the claimant’s functional limitations differ from those covered in the hypothetical questions to which the VE responded at the hearing.

B. Obtaining VE Opinion After the Hearing

When an ALJ decides to obtain evidence from a VE after the initial hearing, the ALJ must determine the most appropriate method to obtain this evidence consistent with the claimant’s rights with respect to posthearing evidence. (See 1-2-7, Posthearing Actions.) Live testimony in person, by video teleconference, or telephone conference with opportunity to question the VE is the preferred method for obtaining VE opinion, but written interrogatories may be used. (See 1-2-5-30, Medical or Vocational Expert Opinion—General.)

NOTE: Regardless of the method used, or whether the claimant is represented, the ALJ must question the VE in lay terms and, to the extent possible, elicit responses in terms which the claimant can understand.

C. Determining the Most Appropriate Method to Obtain VE Opinion After the Hearing

Some of the factors that the ALJ must weigh in determining whether it is more appropriate to obtain the evidence by requesting a VE to appear and testify at a supplemental hearing or answer written interrogatories are:

- whether and when a VE is available to testify in person, by telephone, or by video teleconference;
- the feasibility of scheduling a hearing at a remote hearing site and the availability of a VE; and
- the potential for delays if the ALJ schedules a supplemental hearing.

1-2-5-57. Obtaining Vocational Expert Opinion Through Interrogatories

A. General

Live testimony in person, by telephone, or by video teleconference with opportunity to question the Vocational Expert (VE) is the preferred method for obtaining VE opinion, but written interrogatories may be used. Written interrogatories are often used when the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) receives posthearing evidence, but can be used at other points in the hearing process. The claimant or representative may ask the ALJ to obtain interrogatories, or the ALJ may decide to use them on his or her own initiative.
NOTE: Attorney Adjudicators (AA) and hearing office (HO) staff (with the authority to issue interrogatories in cases not yet assigned to an ALJ under the direction of the Hearing Office Chief Administrative Law Judge (HO CALJ)) may also use written interrogatories on their own initiative. (See Chief Judge Bulletin 08-03. Screening Cases That May Meet the Disability Requirements Through the Use of Pre-hearing Interrogatories.)

B. Preparing Interrogatories
When preparing interrogatories, the ALJ must:

- Phrase each question in a way that will not suggest any specific conclusion, but will elicit a clear and complete response that can ultimately be expressed (to the extent possible) in lay terms (See 1-2-5-94, Sample-Interrogatories to Vocational Expert.); and
- Leave sufficient space between the questions for the answers.

C. Sending Interrogatories to the VE

1. Initial Transmission of Interrogatories to the VE
The ALJ will send the interrogatories to the VE along with the following:

a. A letter explaining the request and the requested method of response. (See 1-2-5-95 Sample-Letter to Expert Witness-Written Interrogatories.)

   - Include all identifying information in the letter.
   - Request a response within 10 days.
   - Mark one copy of the letter as an exhibit and place it in the CF.
   - Place a copy of the letter in the HO file.

b. For a Certified Electronic Case, create a CD of the exhibit list and send it with the interrogatory.

c. For a paper case, send a copy of the exhibit list; Open DGS; click on the “Exhibit Menu” from the tool bar; select “Exhibit Dir”. Enter the SSN to find the Exhibit List being sent; and

   - Photocopies of the pertinent evidence, arranged in chronological order.
   - A copy of the VE’s professional qualifications for verification.

NOTE: Do not include the professional qualifications of any other sources.

d. A transcript or summary of any pertinent testimony provided in an earlier hearing.

e. A statement of the issues in the case.

f. A Contractor’s Invoice generated in WebBass for signature by the VE. (To access the contractor invoice, HA-590, in DGS, go to DGS and click on the “Contractor’s Invoice” tab.)

NOTE: If the Office of Disability Adjudication and Review (ODAR) does not have a Blanket Purchase Agreement (BPA) with the VE, provide any pertinent VE orientation materials available, and use Optional Form 347 (Order for Supplies or Services) to obtain payment.

g. The name and telephone number of an HO contact person.

h. A self-addressed, postage paid...
envelope large enough for the VE to return all enclosures. NOTE: After the VE responds to the initial transmission of interrogatories, a copy of the response will be sent to the claimant and representative and will be included in the record as an Exhibit. The claimant and representative may request that the ALJ allow additional interrogatories be submitted to the VE or that a supplemental hearing be held to question the VE if the answers to the interrogatories are unfavorable to the claimant.

2. Subsequent Transmission of Interrogatories to a VE

When an ALJ receives new evidence after a VE has provided testimony or responded to interrogatories, and decides to forward the new evidence to the VE for review with interrogatories to determine if it affects the prior testimony or response, the ALJ must:

a. Send the interrogatories to the VE with:

- A letter explaining the request and the requested method of response (See I-2-5-96 Sample-Transmittal Letter to Expert Witness—Evidence Received After Interrogatories. Include all identifying information in the letter and request a response within 10 days.);
- A photocopy of the new evidence;
- Copies of pertinent evidentiary documents the VE previously reviewed if not maintained by the VE at the request of the ALJ; and
- The name and telephone number of an HO contact.

b. Distribute the letter as follows:

- Send the original to the VE;
- Mark one copy of the letter as an exhibit and place it in the CF; and
- Place a copy in the HO file.

c. Diary the case for the requested response date and make the appropriate follow-up contacts.

NOTE: After the VE responds to the subsequent transmission of interrogatories, a copy of the response will be sent to the claimant and representative and will be included in the record as an Exhibit. The claimant and representative may request that the ALJ allow additional interrogatories be submitted to the VE or that a supplemental hearing be held to question the VE if the answers to the interrogatories are unfavorable to the claimant.

I-2-6-74. Testimony of a Vocational Expert

Citations:

- 20 CFR §§ 404.1566(e) and 416.966(e)
- SSR 00-4p
A. Prehearing Actions

When an administrative law judge (ALJ) determines that the testimony of a vocational expert (VE) is needed at the hearing, the ALJ must:

- have no substantive contact related to the merits of the case with the VE except at the hearing or in writing, and any such writing will be made an exhibit; and
- request that the VE examine any pertinent evidence received between the time the VE completed the case study and the time of the hearing.

For instructions on obtaining testimony or written opinion from a VE, see the Hearings, Appeals and Litigation Law (HALLEX) manual, sections I-2-5-48 through I-2-5-61. Assisting hearing office (HO) staff will:

- send copies of any correspondence between the ALJ and the VE to the claimant and make such correspondence an exhibit; and
- if the VE is appearing via telephone, contact the VE before the hearing to confirm the telephone number to be used at the hearing.

NOTE: When a VE is scheduled to testify at a hearing, the HO staff must notify the claimant of this appearance in the “REMARKS” section of the Notice of Hearing. The Notice of Hearing must also specify the manner in which the VE will appear.

B. Conduct of the Hearing

At the hearing, the ALJ must advise the claimant of the reason for the VE’s presence and explain the procedures to be followed. The VE may attend the entire hearing, but this is not required. If the VE was not present to hear pertinent testimony, such as testimony regarding past relevant work or educational background, the ALJ will summarize the testimony for the VE on the record.

After administering the oath or affirmation, the ALJ must:

- “qualify” the VE by eliciting information regarding his or her impartiality, expertise, and professional qualifications;
- ask the claimant and the representative whether they have any objections to the VE testifying; and
- rule on any objection. The ALJ’s ruling may be on the record or in narrative form that is made an exhibit. The ALJ may also address the ruling in the body of his or her decision.

C. Questioning the VE

The ALJ will ask the VE questions designed to elicit clear and complete information. The claimant and the representative have the right to question the VE fully on any pertinent matter within the VE’s area of expertise. However, the ALJ will determine when they may exercise this right and whether questions asked or answers given are appropriate. For example:
• If the VE’s replies are ambiguous or overly technical, the ALJ will follow up with more specific questions in order to obtain a response that is understandable to the average person.

• The ALJ will not permit the VE to respond to questions on medical matters or to draw conclusions not within the VE’s authority, e.g., the VE may not provide opinions regarding the claimant’s residual functional capacity or the resolution of ultimate issues of fact or law.

• The ALJ will not ask or allow the VE to conduct any type of vocational examination of the claimant during the hearing.

D. Hypothetical Questions
The ALJ may use hypothetical questions to elicit the VE’s opinion about the availability of jobs that an individual could perform given certain factual situations.

E. Conflicts with the Dictionary of Occupational Titles
Before the ALJ may rely on a VE’s testimony to support a disability decision, the ALJ must inquire on the record whether there are any conflicts between occupational evidence the VE provided and information contained in the Dictionary of Occupational Titles (DOT), including its companion publication, the Selected Characteristics of Occupations Defined in the Revised Dictionary of Occupational Titles (SCO), published by the U. S. Department of Labor. The ALJ must identify and obtain a reasonable explanation for any such conflict. The ALJ also must explain in the decision how any identified conflict has been resolved. See Social Security Ruling 00-4p.
Dear [Name]:

I am requesting your professional opinion in connection with a Social Security disability claim. Please correct, if necessary, your professional qualifications we have on file. Enclosed is vocational evidence with exhibits selected for inclusion in the record of this case and includes a list of this evidence for your reference.

Please complete the enclosed interrogatory based on the evidence provided, as well as your professional knowledge. A copy of this letter and the completed interrogatory will be made a part of the record of the proceedings in this case.

Your charge for this service should be submitted in accordance with your contract with the Social Security Administration. The enclosed Contractor's Invoice should be signed and returned to me, along with the completed interrogatory and the evidence provided to you.

Please return the materials in the enclosed envelope as soon as possible, but not later than 10 days from the date of this letter. If you have any questions, please contact my staff at the number listed above.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Administrative Law Judge

Enclosures
1. Are you aware that your responses to these interrogatories are sought from you in the role of an impartial vocational expert, without regard to the source of your fee?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

2. Have you reviewed the evidence in this case relating to the claimant's vocational background?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

3. Have you discussed the substance of this case with the Administrative Law Judge or with any member of the hearing office staff, the claimant or with the claimant's representative?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

4. Have you had prior professional contact with the claimant or served as a vocational expert with the Social Security Administration for this individual?

☐ Yes  ☐ No

5. Is there sufficient evidence to allow you to form an opinion of the claimant's vocational status?

☐ Yes  ☐ No  *(If no, omit the remaining questions and identify what additional evidence you believe is required)*

6. Does the claimant have work experience within the past 15 years?

☐ Yes *(If yes, provide the DOT Title and Code, skill level with specific vocational preparation (SVP) time, and exertional level for each job. If the claimant performed any job differently than it is normally performed, please describe the difference in terms of exertional level, skill level and/or other job functions. Complete questions #8, #9, #10 and #11)*

☐ No *(If no, omit questions #8 and #9. Complete questions #10 and #11)*

7. Assume a hypothetical individual who was born on **[DATE OF BIRTH]**, **[IS UNABLE TO COMMUNICATE IN ENGLISH/IS ILLITERATE/HAS A MARGINAL EDUCATION/HAS A LIMITED EDUCATION/HAS AT LEAST A HIGH SCHOOL EDUCATION]** as defined in 20 CFR 404.1564 and 416.964, and has work experience as described in your response to question #6. Assume further that this individual has the residual functional capacity (RFC) to perform **[INSERT RFC]**.
8. Could the individual described in item #7 perform any of the claimant’s past jobs as actually performed by the claimant or as normally performed in the national economy?

☐ Yes (If yes, identify the job(s) the individual could perform and explain how the mental and physical demands are accommodated by the RFC)

☐ No (If no, explain how the RFC precludes the performance of each past job as actually performed by the claimant and as normally performed in national economy):

9. Are there any conflicts between the occupational evidence you have provided for question #8 and the occupational information contained in the DOT and/or the SCO?

☐ Yes (If yes, identify the conflict and explain why the information you provided differs from that contained in the DOT and/or SCO).

☐ No

10. Could the individual described in item #7 perform any unskilled occupations with jobs that exist in the national economy?

☐ Yes (If yes, provide the DOT Title, Code and SVP time as well as the number of jobs that exist in the national economy for each unskilled occupation that the individual could perform.)

☐ No

11. Are there any conflicts between the occupational evidence you have provided for question #10 and the occupational information contained in the DOT and/or the SCO?

☐ Yes (If yes, identify the conflict and explain why the information you provided differs from that contained in the DOT and/or SCO).

☐ No

Printed Name of Vocational Expert ___________________________ Date ____________

Signature of Vocational Expert ___________________________ Date ____________
Past Relevant Work

Comments on Mock Hearings

SSA VE Testimony
(Practical Considerations for Representatives)

Melinda Stahr, MS CRC
Stahr and Associates, Inc.

Overview

- Comments on Mock Hearings
- What representatives need to know
- Preparation for the Hearing
- Role at the Hearing

Comments on Mock Hearings

Hearing 1 Issues: SGA, SVP, Reaching
(overhead, bi-lateral), Using functional
terms, Job #s

Hearing 2 Issues: Erosion of Job #s,
departure from DOT definitions,
Significance of Job #s
Vocabulary

- PRW – Past Relevant Work
- TSA – Transferable Skills Analysis
- RFC – Residual Functional Capacity
- SGA – Substantial Gainful Employment

Past Relevant Work

- Generally the work a claimant performed within the last 15 years and which lasted long enough for the individual to learn the job.
  - Case prep...
  - At hearing...

TSA – Transferable Skills

- Applying a claimant’s demonstrated work skills that are acquired to the performance of PRW to meet the demands of other semi-skilled or skilled jobs.
  - Case prep...
  - At hearing...
RFC – Residual Functional Capacity

- An assessment by an ALJ of the maximum degree to which the claimant retains the capacity for sustained performance of the physical and mental requirements of jobs.

SGA – Substantial Gainful Employment

- Work that involves doing significant physical or mental activities and is done for pay or profit.

Other Considerations

- Significance of job numbers
- What not to ask
Section R

National Social Security Disability Law Conference

Where to Get More Information

Excerpts from:
- Melinda Stahr, MS, CRC
  Stahr and Associates, Inc.
  809 Wheeler Street, Suite 3-340
  Ames, IA 50010
  http://www.stahrandassociates.com
  515/450-1037
  melindastahr@stahrandassociates.com

Case prep
At hearing
## Past Relevant Work
Prepared by
Vocational Expert 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>DOT Code</th>
<th>Occupationally Significant Characteristics</th>
<th>Strength Demands per DOT</th>
<th>Strength Demands per Claimant</th>
<th>Skill level</th>
<th>Basic Acquired Skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse worker</td>
<td>922.687-058</td>
<td>performs a combination of tasks to receive, store, and distribute materials, etc. within establishments.</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>Unskilled sp2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janitor</td>
<td>381.687-014</td>
<td>Keeps premises of commercial property in clean and orderly condition</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Unskilled 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furnace tender</td>
<td>512.685-010</td>
<td>Tends furnace that remelts nonferrous alloyed metal</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>Semi-skilled 3</td>
<td>Knowledge of furnaces, tending procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine feeder</td>
<td>699.686-010</td>
<td>Feeding and offbearing pieces to fabricating machinery on a production basis</td>
<td>Med</td>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td>SVP 2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dishwasher/ busboy</td>
<td>(Not SGA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Past Relevant Work
**Prepared by**
Vocational Expert 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Title</th>
<th>DOT Code</th>
<th>Occupationally Significant Characteristics</th>
<th>Strength Demands per DOT</th>
<th>Strength Demands per Claimant</th>
<th>Skill level</th>
<th>Basic Acquired Skills</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cashier</td>
<td>211.462-010</td>
<td>Receives cash from customers or employees in payment for goods or services and records amounts received</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Unskilled (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook - Day Care</td>
<td>313.381-030</td>
<td>Prepares food in school cafeteria</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Skilled (6)</td>
<td>Utilizing cafeteria equipment and cooking experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certified Nurse Assistant</td>
<td>355.381-030</td>
<td>Performs any combination of duties in care of patients in hospital, nursing home, or other medical facility, under direction of nursing and medical staff</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Very heavy</td>
<td>Semi-skilled (4)</td>
<td>Assisting nursing staff. Keeping records. Knowledge of safety procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaner</td>
<td>323.687-014</td>
<td>Cleans rooms and halls in commercial establishments.</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Light</td>
<td>Unskilled (2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>